

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webje.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/630,547	07/29/2003	Mark T. Marshall	P0011313.01	7482
27581 7590 05/19/2010 MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE			EXAMINER	
			BAYS, PAMELA M	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3766	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/19/2010	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

rs.docketingus@medtronic.com sso@cardinal-ip.com

## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/630 547 MARSHALL ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Pamela M. Bavs 3766 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 May 2010. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.2 and 7-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 29 July 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTC/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/630,547 Page 2

Art Unit: 3766

### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Amendment

 This Office Action is responsive to the After Final Amendment filed on 6 May 2010. As directed by the Amendment, no claims have been amended, added, or cancelled. Thus, Claims 1-2 and 7-19 are presently pending in this Application.

2. The Applicant's arguments in the After Final Amendment filed 6 May 2010, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-2 and 7-19 under 35 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection are made below.

### Drawings

- 3. The drawings have been received on 29 July 2003 and these drawings have been objected to under 37 CFR 1.84 for the following reasons: lines, numbers and letters are not uniformly thick and well defined; and numbers and reference characters are not plain and legible for Figures 1-6. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations of

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/630,547

Art Unit: 3766

Claim 19 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abevance.

#### Terminal Disclaimer

5. The terminal disclaimer filed on 20 June 2008 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of commonly owned Patent No. 7,191,016 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded. This terminal disclaimer had been previously Application/Control Number: 10/630,547 Page 4

Art Unit: 3766

withdrawn by the Applicant, and has now been reinstated as directed by the Amendment dated 14 July 2009.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- Claims 1-2 and 7-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement.
- 8. The Claim 1 contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim element "means for delivering pulses between only the first and second electrical contacts" in Claim 1 was not described in the Applicant's disclosure as originally filed, and was added in the Amendment dated 23 March 2009. The written description fails to disclose the corresponding structure, material, or acts for the claimed function, specifically only delivering pulses between the first and second electrical contacts. Claims 2 and 7-19 are rejected for depending on rejected Claim 1.
- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 10. Claims 1-2 and 7-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/630,547 Art Unit: 3766

- 11. The term "low voltage" in Claim 1 and "high voltage" in Claim 19 are relative terms which renders the claim indefinite. The terms "low voltage" and "high voltage" are not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. Claims 2 and 7-18 are rejected for depending on rejected Claim 1.
- 12. Claim element "means for delivering pulses between only the first and second electrical contacts" in Claim 1 is a means plus function limitation that invokes 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the written description fails to disclose the corresponding structure, material, or acts for the claimed function. It is unclear what 'means' the limitation is referring to that 'only' delivers pulses between the first and second electrical contacts. Claims 2 and 7-19 are rejected for depending on rejected Claim 1.

## Applicant is required to:

- (a) Amend the claim so that the claim limitation will no longer be a means (or step) plus function limitation under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph; or
- (b) Amend the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)).

If applicant is of the opinion that the written description of the specification already implicitly or inherently discloses the corresponding structure, material, or acts so that

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/630,547

Art Unit: 3766

one of ordinary skill in the art would recognize what structure, material, or acts perform the claimed function, applicant is required to clarify the record by either:

- (a) Amending the written description of the specification such that it expressly recites the corresponding structure, material, or acts for performing the claimed function and clearly links or associates the structure, material, or acts to the claimed function, without introducing any new matter (35 U.S.C. 132(a)); or
- (b) Stating on the record what the corresponding structure, material, or acts, which are implicitly or inherently set forth in the written description of the specification, perform the claimed function. For more information, see 37 CFR 1.75(d) and MPEP §§ 608.01(o) and 2181.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1,2, 7-10, and 16-18 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carson (US Patent Number 5,931,862, previously cited) in view of Helland (US Patent No. 5,466,254).
- 15. Regarding Claims 1, 2, 17, and 18, Carson shows a medical electrical lead (Figs. 1 and 2, lead 12) comprising a first elongated body with a first elongated insulated conductor (elongated body 10', conductor 36) and a first connector at its proximal end (connector 22): a second elongated lead body with a second conductor (column 4, lines

Art Unit: 3766

52-63; Figs. 1 and 2, lead 10" and conductor 37) and a second connector at its proximal end (connector 24); a first low voltage electrode adapted for intimate contact with tissue to provide pacing stimulation (Figs. 2 & 3, distal pacing electrode 20, helical coil or tined formations); a second low voltage electrode joined to the lead body in proximity to the first electrode (underlying electrode 16); and a porous layer formed over the second electrode (porous tubular covering 10); wherein the outer surface of the second electrode (16) is recessed from the outer surface of the lead body (Fig. 2) and the outer surface of the porous layer (10) is isodiametric with the outer surface of the lead body (column 2, lines 39-44). Further regarding claim 1, Carson discloses that the layer 10 covering the electrodes may be impregnated with collagen via perfusion, which is taken to reasonably disclose a sheet of collagen fibers, since the ePTFE sheet will be evenly distributed with the perfused collagen fibers (column 8, lines 50-65). Carson discloses that the porous layer is adapted to prevent chronic tissue ingrowth (column 2, lines 47-48). The prevention of chronic tissue ingrowth, which prevents the electrode from coming in direct contact with the tissue, is a sufficient and effective means of preventing the electrode from stimulating tissue in proximity to the electrode. Alternatively, the pulse generator (Fig. 1, generator 11) of Carson must inherently contain a control means used in the art, such as a microprocessor. That control means provides a means for preventing the second electrode from stimulating the tissue as the alternate state to control-driven stimulation of tissue. If the device is off, or the second

electrode channel is powered down or in a blanked state, the control means is preventing the second electrode from delivering stimulation to the tissue. However,

Art Unit: 3766

Carson does not discloses that the first and second electrodes are located on first and second separate lead bodies, wherein the first lead/electrode is placed in a cardiac vein and the second lead/electrode is placed in the right ventricle. Helland teaches a multilead cardiac pacing system (Fig. 7, Abstract) wherein each lead 150, 120, 148, 160 has a separate connector 130, 132, and wherein the first lead/electrode is placed in a cardiac vein 30 and the second lead/electrode is placed in the right ventricle 154, wherein the first and second leads act as the anode and cathode in a bipolar pacing system (Col. 5, Lines 50-67). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use separate leads for the separate electrodes, as taught by Helland et al, in the bipolar pacing system with electrode porous layer as disclosed by Carson, in order to allow for implantation in both the cardiac vein and the right ventricle for pacing/defibrillation therapy.

- 16. Regarding claims 7-10, Carson discloses a means to promote wetting comprising a wetting agent which can be a surfactant and a surface treatment of the porous layer (column 2, line 54 through column 3, line 26).
- Regarding claim 16, Carson discloses the invention as previously recited wherein the porous layer is adapted to prevent chronic tissue ingrowth (column 2, lines 47-48).
- Claims 11-14 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carson in view of Helland, further in view of Hull et al. (US Patent 5,269,810, previously cited).
- Regarding Claims 11-14, Carson and Helland shows the invention substantially as claimed, but does not disclose the thickness of the porous layer (2-9 mm) or the

Application/Control Number: 10/630,547

Art Unit: 3766

desired size range for the pores in that layer (0.4-50 microns). In the same problem solving area, Hull'810 teaches an electrode-covering layer that is about 0.13 mm (0.005 inches) thick with fibril length (i.e. internodal distance and pore size) of 10 microns for the advantages of being highly biocompatible, highly flexible, and long-lasting (column 3, lines 32-45; column 4, lines 1-15). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use similar structural criteria with the Carson and Helland systems for the same advantages of biocompatibility, flexibility and long lifespan (motivation to combine provided by Hull et al., column 3, lines 32-45; column 4, lines 1-15).

- 20. Claims 12-15 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Carson in view of Helland, further in view of Soukup et al. (US Patent 5.466.252, previously cited).
- 21. Carson and Helland shows the invention substantially as claimed, but does not disclose the desired size range for the pores in that layer (0.4-50 microns). In the same field of endeavor, Soukup et al. teaches an implantable lead with a porous PTFE layer with preferred fibril lengths greater than 4 microns, and most preferably greater than 10 microns to provide the necessary amount of flexibility and extensibility for the intended application and to present an acceptable biocompatible surface to the blood chemistry to which the outer surface of the lead will be exposed (column 2, lines 26-34).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use similar parameters for the lead body covering in the Carson and

Application/Control Number: 10/630,547 Page 10

Art Unit: 3766

Helland systems to provide the same advantages of flexibility and biocompatibility (motivation to combine provided by Soukup et al., column 2, lines 26-34).

- Claim 19 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
  Carson in view of Helland, further in view of Kroll (US Patent 6,327,498, previously cited).
- 23. Carson and Helland shows the invention substantially as claimed, but does not disclose a third high voltage electrode adapted for defibrillation stimulation. In the same field of endeavor, Kroll teaches a third electrode (Fig. 2, electrode 46) placed proximal to a second electrode (32) and distal to a first electrode (34) for the purpose of providing shocking stimulation pulses (column 7, lines 64-67). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include a third electrode in the Carson and Helland devices for the same advantage of applying shocking stimulation (defibrillation) to the heart (motivation to combine provided by Kroll column 7, lines 64-67).

## Response to Arguments

24. The Applicant's arguments in the After Final Amendment filed 6 May 2010, with respect to the rejections of claims 1-2 and 7-19 under 35 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, new grounds of rejection are made below.

Art Unit: 3766

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pamela M. Bays whose telephone number is (571) 270-7852. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 9am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl H. Layno can be reached on (571) 272-4949. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Carl H. Layno/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3766

/P. B./

Examiner, Art Unit 3766